

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,479	07/21/2003	Tsuyoshi Shibata	01272.020610	4521	
5514 7:	5514 7590 10/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			HUFFMAN, JULIAN D		
	EFELLER PLAZA RK, NY 10112		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11211 10141,			2853		

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/622,479	SHIBATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julian D. Huffman	2853	

	Julian D. Huffman	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	but a signal at a data of filling a bail	.e	haaayaa				
<ul> <li>∴  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	out before or an the date of filing a	Notice of Annual will	not he entered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
12. Note the attached information disclosure Statement(s)	. (1 10/05/00 01 F 10+1449) Fapel	J-01					
To. 23 Carlott Good Committed Control		Justin Nut	mas				
		Art Unit					
MEDIE 187 E. ENC.		11 October	7006				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims and requires further search and consideration.



Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments are noted, however they are directed towards limitations presented in the proposed amendment which require further search and consideration.